

Title: Impacts of Feeding DDGS to Swine: Aerial Emissions and Potential Management Strategies – NPB #09-202

Investigator: Dr. Hongwei Xin, Professor, 515-294-4240, hxin@iastate.edu

Institution: Iowa State University

Date Submitted: 7/08/2011

Scientific Abstract

In recent years the corn grain ethanol industry has expanded and led to increased availability of dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS). As a result, feeding DDGS to swine is becoming more common in pork production. With feed being the primary cost in pork production and increasing interest in air emissions from animal feeding operations, it is important to understand the impacts of non-traditional dietary formulations on aerial emissions. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the impacts of feeding DDGS on ammonia (NH₃), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from deep-pit swine wean-to-finish (5.5 – 118 kg) facilities in Iowa, the leading swine producing state in the USA. To attain the study objectives, two commercial, co-located wean-to-finish barns were monitored: one barn received a traditional corn-soybean meal diet (designated as Non-DDGS regimen), while the other received a diet that included 22% DDGS (designated as DDGS regimen). Gaseous concentrations and barn ventilation rate (VR) were monitored or determined semi-continuously, and the corresponding emission rates (ER) were derived from the concentration and VR data. Two turns of production were monitored for this study, covering the period of December 2009 to January 2011. The daily and cumulative emissions are expressed on the basis of per barn, per pig, and per animal unit (AU, 500 kg live body weight). Results from this project indicate that feeding 22% DDGS does not significantly affect aerial emissions of NH₃, H₂S, CO₂, N₂O or CH₄ when compared to the Non-DDGS regimen in a deep-pit wean-to-finish swine facility (p-value = 0.10 for NH₃, 0.13 for H₂S, 0.55 for CO₂, 0.58 for N₂O, and 0.18 for CH₄). Cumulative emissions (mean ± SE) (including both production and downtime emissions), in kg gas per pig (at 116 kg body weight) marketed, was 1.42±0.29 NH₃, 0.071±0.039 H₂S, 399±27.5 CO₂ and 13.9±6.55 CH₄ for the Non-DDGS regimen; and 1.50±0.005 NH₃, 0.078±0.046 H₂S, 337±22.5 CO₂ and 8.99±2.11 CH₄ for the DDGS regimen. On the basis of kg gas emission per animal unit (AU, 500 kg live body weight) marketed, the values were 6.07±0.88 NH₃, 0.30±0.15 H₂S, 1,717±15 CO₂ and 58.2±24.7 CH₄ for the Non-DDGS regimen; and 6.28±0.20 NH₃, 0.32±0.18 H₂S, 1,406±53 CO₂ and 37.4±7.7 CH₄ for the DDGS regimen. Results of this extended field-scale study help filling the knowledge gap of GHG emissions and impact of DDGS on gaseous emissions from modern swine production systems.

These research results were submitted in fulfillment of the Nutritional Efficiency Consortium research projects.

Contributing organizations for 2009 include: AgriSolutions, Inc., DPI Global, Iowa Pork Producers Association, Illinois Corn Marketing Board, Illinois Pork Producers Association, Kansas Pork Association, Missouri Pork Producers Association, Mississippi Pork Producers Association, National Pork Board, Nebraska Corn Board and the Utah Pork Producers Association.

This report is published directly as submitted by the projects principal investigator. This report has not been peer reviewed.

For more information contact:

National Pork Board • PO Box 9114 • Des Moines, IA 50306 USA • 800-456-7675 • Fax: 515-223-2646 • pork.org
